



Ind AS: Practical perspectives

Quarterly update – Issue 02

A quarterly analysis of published
results of Indian listed companies

February 2017

KPMG.com/in






Table of contents





01

Foreword

04

Introduction

07

Ind AS transition: Impact on headline numbers

17

Ind AS transition: Sectorial impacts

33

Analysis of impact of key standards

49

SEBI's reporting requirements on transition to Ind AS

53

Looking ahead



Foreword

The year 2016 marks the dawn of a new era in Indian financial reporting as the convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) is becoming a reality, with the adoption of Indian Accounting Standards (Ind AS) by the largest Indian companies and declaration of the first quarter results for Financial Year (FY) 2016-17. This culminates a nine year journey towards convergence with IFRS that had its share of ups and downs and false starts. However, at the end of it all, we now have a set of standards that are virtually on par with globally accepted standards, notwithstanding a few carve-outs or deviations from IFRS.

While the standard setting process has produced a respectable outcome, the real success of the convergence initiatives depends on how well these standards are implemented and how effectively companies embrace this change. KPMG in India, through its publication, 'Ind AS: Practical perspectives', aims to put a finger on the pulse of India Inc's adoption of Ind AS and capture emerging trends and practices.

A follow up from the first edition, in the second edition of 'Ind AS: Practical perspectives', we continue with our analysis of the results of 72 companies that are part of the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) 100, and have announced their second quarter results under Ind AS. The impact of the transition to Ind AS has been analysed by comparing the reported results for the quarter ended 30 September 2015 under the Accounting Standards (AS) with the restated results for the same quarter under Ind AS, that have been published as comparatives for the quarter ended 30 September 2016. In addition, wherever relevant, we have provided a comparison with the year-to-date impact as well.

Our analysis identifies the significant financial reporting areas that have had an impact on companies' profits. As expected, a substantial portion of the impact is related to areas such as business acquisitions, property, plant and equipment, financial instruments and taxes. However, the impact arising from policy choices made by companies on transition/ first-time adoption as well as the extent to which some companies have adopted specific 'carve-outs' are emerging as important areas of focus, and some of these are expected to have a continuing impact on financial results in the future.

The trend of companies presenting only the bare minimum information mandated by SEBI continues; as a result, the impact of the transition on net worth, the choices made in relation to transition and exemptions availed, carve-outs adopted, etc. continue to be partially explained in the published results. Further, close to 40 per cent of these companies have reported only stand-alone results instead of consolidated results. Considering all of these aspects, when compared with the extent of qualitative differences between AS and Ind AS, the quantitative impact continues to be relatively insignificant.

However, the second quarter has seen significant one off impacts in relation to property, plant and equipment on account of choice exercised by certain companies on first-time adoption.

As we approach the year end, it is likely companies may be turning their focus towards disclosures required to be made in relation to the adjustments considered on transition to Ind AS and also the mandatory year end disclosures. Certain clarifications by the Implementation Task Force Group (ITFG) may also eventually result in change in accounting positions originally considered by companies. With the start of year 2017, the countdown for the year end results has begun and while the third quarter results are also getting published, we would expect companies to be looking beyond and begin preparations earnestly for the year end.

We hope that you continue to find this publication useful in enhancing your understanding of corporate results under Ind AS and we welcome any suggestions or feedback that you may have.



Sai Venkateshwaran

Partner and Head
Accounting Advisory Services
KPMG in India



Ruchi Rastogi

Executive Director
Assurance
KPMG in India



Introduction

Background to Ind AS adoption

Ind AS comprises 40 accounting standards that are largely converged with IFRS which were issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA) on 16 February 2015 and amended on 30 March 2016. While there are certain differences from IFRS (carve-outs), the more significant ones are optional and have been introduced to facilitate a smooth transition from Indian Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (Indian GAAP or AS) to Ind AS. An important decision taken by MCA was to notify the financial instruments standard based on the requirements of IFRS 9, *Financial Instruments* which is not yet mandatory internationally for companies preparing financial statements as per the requirements of IFRS.

Ind AS introduce significant differences from the requirements of AS in areas such as revenue recognition, property, plant and equipment, financial instruments, business combinations, consolidation, etc. In addition to changes in the requirements of the standards themselves, there are several areas where Ind AS require application of judgement and financial

reporting would be based on estimates made by the management. Annual financial statements also are required to include several new quantitative and qualitative disclosures, especially in relation to financial instruments and consolidated financial statements.

The MCA laid down a road map for companies (other than banks, insurance companies and NBFCs) whereby companies with a net worth of more than INR500 crore (phase I companies) are required to apply Ind AS for statutory financial reporting from 1 April 2016 (with 1 April 2015 as the transition date). All remaining listed companies and other unlisted companies with a net worth of more than INR250 crore (phase II companies) are required to apply Ind AS from 1 April 2017 (with 1 April 2016 as the transition date). Separate road maps have been issued for banks, insurance companies and Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) thereafter with the first cycle of applicability from 1 April 2018 onwards (transition date 1 April 2017). Based on the road map for corporates, listed companies with a net worth of more than INR500 crore were

required to report their results from the quarter ended 30 June 2016 onwards as per the requirements of Ind AS.

The quarter ended 30 September 2016 marks the end of the second quarter of Ind AS reporting by companies covered in the first phase. The relaxations issued by SEBI in the previous quarter by and large continued to remain relevant, albeit some companies chose not to use them in the second quarter (despite having used them for the first quarter). This is consistent with the expectation that with this being the second quarter, preparation of Ind AS results may have been a smoother experience for all stakeholders concerned.

This hopefully would have set up the companies well for the third quarter, especially the ones who reported comparatives without any form of review or audit by statutory auditors. This is important given that the relaxation for review/audit of results are not available for the third quarter.

Basis of our analysis

Profile of companies covered

In the second edition of Ind AS: Practical perspectives, we have analysed the second quarter results announced by the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) 100 companies. The publication analyses the financial results of BSE 100 companies to identify the impact of adoption of Ind AS on important areas such as revenue, EBITDA⁰¹, interest, tax, PAT⁰², debt equity ratio and net worth.

Of the companies comprising the BSE 100 index, 14 companies are banks, nine are Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs) and five companies follow a different date of transition to Ind AS. Therefore, the analysis in the subsequent sections are based on the results published by the remaining 72 companies (covered companies).

Sector-wise split of companies

The covered companies, whose results have been analysed in this publication have been categorised into 12 broad sectors. The sector classification of the covered companies done by BSE has been used to arrive at the sector categorisation used for the purposes of our analysis in this publication, as indicated in the table alongside.

The table below summarises basis of classification of sectors

Sector categorisation used in this publication	Corresponding sector(s) classification by BSE	Number of companies
Automotive and ancillaries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Commercial vehicles 2/3 wheelers Auto parts and equipments Cars and utility vehicles 	10
Cement and cement products	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cement and cement products 	1
Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refineries/petro products Coal Oil marketing and distribution Mining Electric utilities (certain companies) Exploration and production Integrated oil and gas 	11
Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Industrial machinery Realty Utilities Electric utilities (certain companies) Construction and engineering 	7
IT consulting and software	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IT consulting and software 	5*
Metals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aluminum Zinc Iron and steel/interim products 	7
Other manufacturing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Heavy electrical equipment Furniture-furnishing-paints Other industrial products Textiles Cigarettes-tobacco products Commodity chemicals Agrochemicals 	7
Packaged foods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Packaged foods 	1
Personal products	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personal products Tea and coffee 	6
Pharmaceuticals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pharmaceuticals 	8

01. EBITDA - Earning Before Interest, Tax, Depreciation and Amortisation

02. PAT - Profit After Tax

Sector categorisation used in this publication	Corresponding sector(s) classification by BSE	Number of companies
Telecom and telecom services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Telecom services • Other telecom services 	4
Others	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marine ports and services • Other apparels and accessories • Breweries and distilleries • Broadcasting and cable TV 	5

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

*An additional one company has declared financial results for the quarter ended 30 September 2016 and therefore, there is an addition in IT consulting and software section as compared to first quarter. Hence, total covered companies have increased to 72 as compared to 71 from first quarter of 2016.

Availability and comparability of information

Continuing with the trend in the first quarter, a majority of the covered companies in their published financial results have presented only the minimum mandatory reconciliations explaining the variation between the profit reported under AS for the quarter and YTD⁰³ ended 30 September 2015 and the profits reported under Ind AS for the same period (as comparatives to the results for the quarter and YTD ended 30 September 2016). Along with the statement of profit and loss, in the second quarter ending 30 September 2016, companies have also presented half year balance sheet as at 30 September 2016 as part of minimum mandatory requirement. Of the 72 covered companies, 34 companies have provided their 31 March 2016 balance sheet as per Ind AS and 32 companies have presented

net worth reconciliation. The net worth reconciliation explains the variation between the figures reported under AS as on 31 March 2016 and the figures reported under Ind AS (provided with 30 September 2016 results).

Additionally, 28 of the covered companies have provided stand-alone financial results for the quarter ending 30 September 2015 while 44 have provided consolidated financial results for the quarter ending 30 September 2015. Out of 72 companies, six of the covered companies have historically published IFRS consolidated financial statements. Therefore, the analysis performed on the covered companies has been constrained due to the lack of availability of comparable information for all the relevant periods.

Basis of analysis

Analysis in the subsequent sections of this publication is based on

- profit reconciliations for the quarter and YTD ended 30 September 2015,
- balance sheet as at 31 March 2016 and
- net worth reconciliation

presented by the covered companies (the analysis is based on consolidated financial results, unless the consolidated financial results have not been published for the quarter/YTD ended 30 September 2016, in which case the stand-alone financial results have been used).

As necessary explanations/ notes to the profit/net worth reconciliations have not been provided in a narrative form by all the covered companies, we have determined the nature of adjustments to profit or net worth in relation to a particular Ind AS on the basis of descriptions available in the reconciliations and on the basis of our analysis of those descriptions. Further, certain values and percentages, referred to in this publication should be considered as indicative and may change if computed differently and/ or on use of different set of assumptions.

Additionally, standard-wise Ind AS impact analysis on profitability is based on the absolute values of adjustments disclosed in the reconciliations.

03. Year to Date

Ind AS transition: Impact on headline numbers




Our observation remains the same as for the first quarter Ind AS financial results, that the transition to reporting under Ind AS even in the second quarter did not make headlines for several companies. The quantitative impact on the reported numbers was not as stark as the qualitative differences between AS and Ind AS would have suggested

them to be. Some companies however, reflected certain large impacts on account of reversal of one-off impacts reported in the comparative quarter either on account of choices exercised on first-time adoption or on account of accounting treatment required under Ind AS. A combination of factors including accounting policy choices and exemptions

availed at the date of transition to Ind AS, use of carve-outs (deviations from IFRS) provided in Ind AS and inconsistent practices in reporting, have meant that the impact even in the second quarter results were rather muted. Impact on key metrics reported by companies is analysed on next page.

Impact on key metrics of companies for the 30 September 2015 quarter under AS and Ind AS



The impact of the transition to Ind AS on second quarter results presented above is based on the reported results for quarter ended 30 September 2015 as per AS and as per Ind AS for the quarter ended 30 September 2015.

**The percentage change reflected in the tables above has been compiled with reference to the aggregate movement in the respective measure for the comparative period ending 30 September 2015 of the companies covered in this document.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Impact on key ratios

	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change
 Debt-equity ratio	0.13	0.12	NA	(7.50)
 Net worth (Amount in INR crores)	1,028,646	1,083,134	54,488	5.30

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



The table below provides a summary of total revenue under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

Quarter ended 30 September 2015	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total revenue reported by covered companies	757,799	798,459	40,660	5.37	-
Companies with increase in revenue	557,400	607,443	50,043	8.98	48
Companies with no change in revenue	24,105	24,105	-	-	2
Companies with decrease in revenue	176,293	166,910	(9,383)	(5.32)	22

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathers from BSE 100 companies upto 14 December 2016

Our analysis suggests that 48 companies have reflected an increase in revenue by around 8.98 per cent (determined for the 48 companies separately) while around 22 companies have revealed a reduction in revenue by around 5.32 per cent (determined for the 22 companies separately). The remaining two have seen no movement in revenue. In the first quarter, we saw diversity in the presentation of revenue with respect to presentation of excise duty. In the first quarter, 24 companies had presented revenues gross of

excise duty. However, on 20 September 2016, the Bombay Stock Exchange and National Stock Exchange issued a notification on behalf of SEBI and clarified that companies should follow a uniform approach in their revenue disclosures. Accordingly, income from operations may be disclosed inclusive of excise duty, instead of, net of excise duty. Consequently, in the second quarter, almost all the companies covered in this publication, have disclosed revenue gross of excise duty. Therefore, one of the

main driver for the increase in revenue reported in the second quarter is the gross presentation of excise duty. The sectors which normally have a higher exposure to excise duty – infrastructure and automotive – have reported an increase in revenue due to grossing of excise duty.



EBITDA

The table below provides a summary of total EBITDA under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

Quarter ended 30 September 2015	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total EBITDA reported by covered companies	137,206	132,099	(5,107)	(3.72)	-
Companies with increase in EBITDA	65,628	69,292	3,663	5.58	43
Companies with no change in EBITDA	-	-	-	-	-
Companies with decrease in EBITDA	71,577	62,807	(8,770)	(12.25)	29

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathers from BSE 100 companies upto 14 December 2016

In comparison to the first quarter, EBITDA has shown a further fall in the second quarter for the covered companies as a whole, though this is a marginal reduction for the covered companies as a whole. Of the 72 companies that have reported their results under Ind AS, 43 have seen an increase in EBITDA by 5.58 per cent, whereas 29

have seen a reduction in their EBITDA by 12.25 per cent. As per SEBI circular, no specific reconciliations for EBITDA was required to be reported by the covered companies. However, the general variables that have impacted EBITDA are changes in accounting for revenues, foreign currency fluctuations, financial instruments, business combination, etc. In particular,

impact on EBITDA reported by two companies (largely on account of one-off impacts) contributes significantly to the overall reduction in EBITDA. The overall impact on EBITDA without these two specific companies is only a reduction of 0.59 per cent.



Interest

The table below provides a summary of total interest under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

Quarter ended 30 September 2015	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total interest reported by covered companies	18,359	18,362	3	0.02	-
Companies with increase in interest	15,078	16,798	1,720	11.41	48
Companies with no change in interest	248	248	-	-	16
Companies with decrease in interest	3,033	1,316	(1,717)	(56.62)	8

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathers from BSE 100 companies upto 14 December 2016

While a majority of the companies have seen an increase in the interest costs (approximately 48 out of the covered companies have reflected a 11.41 per cent increase in their interest costs while eight companies have reflected a 56.62 per cent reduction in their interest costs), this being one of the key impacts of the new financial instruments

standard; companies are now required to classify many more instruments as debt/liability, as well as recognise all related finance costs through the statement of profit and loss. However, the quantum of increase in interest costs may potentially undergo a change when all covered companies publish consolidated financial results. For few companies, it is likely that significant reduction

in the interest costs is primarily on account of the fact that the joint ventures which carried a significant component of debt in their respective balance sheets are no longer proportionately consolidated. Accordingly, the related interest cost in the consolidated financial results has reduced as compared to AS.



The table below provides a summary of total tax expense under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

Quarter ended 30 September 2015	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total tax expense reported by covered companies	19,385	19,286	(99)	(0.51)	-
Companies with increase in tax expense	8,755	10,083	1,328	15.16	33
Companies with no change in tax expense	1,305	1,305	-	-	1
Companies with decrease in tax expense	9,325	7,898	(1,427)	(15.30)	38

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathers from BSE 100 companies upto 14 December 2016

Tax expense for the covered companies has reduced by 0.51 per cent. Thirty-eight companies have reported a reduction of approximately 15.30 per cent in tax expense for the quarter ended 30 September 2015 while 33 companies have reported an increase of approximately 15.16 per cent. In addition to

impacts reported for separate financial statements (primarily on account of the impact of gap adjustments), key differences reported for consolidated financial statements include recognition of deferred tax liabilities on undistributed earnings of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures (which could result in an

increase in tax expense), deferred tax on consolidation adjustments e.g. unrealised profit on sale of inventory, unrealised profit on sale of fixed assets, deferred taxes on accounting policy alignments (which is likely to result in reduction in tax expense).



The table below provides a summary of total PAT under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

Quarter ended 30 September 2015	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total PAT reported by covered companies	63,309	64,321	1,011	1.60	
Companies with increase in PAT	31,894	40,290	8,396	26.32	39
Companies with no change in PAT	-	-	-	0.00	-
Companies with decrease in PAT	31,415	24,031	(7,384)	(23.51)	33

Source: Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

While almost an equal number of covered companies have reported an increase and decrease in PAT respectively, it is pertinent to note that a substantial part of the movement in the aggregate PAT of covered companies has been contributed by the companies that have published consolidated financial results. Significant part of the impact reported by companies presenting stand-alone financial results was on account of a one-off impact reported by one company. While the financial instruments standard Ind AS 109, *Financial*

Instruments has consistently had an impact on the profits of the covered companies, in terms of quantum, impact of property, plant and equipment is the most significant. This is on account of the choices on first-time adoption considered by two companies which has resulted in reversal of fixed assets related expenditure recognised in the comparative period under AS. An overview of the impact of various standards on the overall profits of the covered companies has been provided in the chart on page 16.

IFRS results

From the BSE 100 companies, six have historically presented IFRS results. The difference in the Ind AS results and IFRS results of these companies on an aggregate basis is 1.46 per cent and it is attributed to two companies out of these six. The fact that four out of six companies have not been significantly impacted could be because their Ind AS accounting policies are in line with IFRS.



Net worth

The table below provides a summary of total tax expense under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

As of 31 March 2016	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total Net worth reported by covered companies	1,028,646	1,083,134	54,488	5.30	
Companies with increase in Net worth	628,637	704,981	76,343	12.14	26
iCompanies with no change in Net worth	-	-	-	0.00	-
Companies with decrease in Net worth	400,009	378,154	(21,855)	(5.46)	8

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathers from BSE 100 companies upto 14 December 2016

Net worth as at 31 March 2016 as per requirements of Ind AS and related reconciliations were published for the first time by 34 companies out of the 72 covered companies (as restatement of balance sheet was not mandatory for this quarter).

One large contributor for such an increase (approximately 3.12 per cent on an overall basis) is on account of reversal of proposed dividend recognised under AS. This is a one-time impact which is temporary given that the dividend payout would affect the net worth of these companies in the

subsequent year at the time of actual payout. Another significant adjustment contributing to the increase in the reported net worth under Ind AS is on account of fair valuation of property, plant and equipment considered as part of first-time of adoption by two companies (one from the energy sector and the other from the metals sector). Amongst other differences, recognition of unrealised gains on financial instruments have had positive impact on net worth by approximately 2.42 per cent.

While of the 34 companies who have published restated balance sheet as at 31 March 2016 per requirements of Ind AS, only 15 companies have published consolidated balance sheets, it is pertinent to note that the consolidated net worth has also increased by approximately 5 per cent. It may be interesting to evaluate the impact of Ind AS on the consolidated net worth when the remaining 55 companies prepare consolidated financial statements at the end of the year.



The table below provides a summary of total PAT under AS and Ind AS for the covered companies.

(Amount in INR crore)

As of 31 March 2016	AS	Ind AS	Change	Percentage change	Number of companies
Total debt reported by covered companies	508,619	493,051	(15,568)	(3.06)	
Companies with increase in debt	84,655	87,820	3,165	3.74	8
Companies with no change in debt	25,888	25,888	-	0.00	14
Companies with decrease in debt	398,076	379,343	(18,733)	(4.71)	12

Source: Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

While there are no separate reconciliations reported by the covered companies, an overall reduction in the debt reported by covered companies is contrary to the perception that Ind AS may result in increase in the levels of debt on account of reflecting the true substance of

financing arrangements. One of the primary contributors for reduction of debt may be attributed to application of equity method of accounting for joint ventures with high levels of debt.

The table below summarises the impact of various Ind AS on the profits of the covered companies



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The table below presents aggregated profit reconciliation for all the covered companies:

Description	Total	Description	Total
Profit as per AS	63,916	Business combination	563
Revenue	18	Income taxes	97
Property, plant and equipment	10,776	Others	(3,161)
Financial instruments	(3,558)	Total adjustments	3,409
Foreign exchange fluctuation	(495)	Profit as per Ind AS	67,325
Employee benefits	(831)		


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Ind AS transition: Sectorial impacts



Percentage impact on results

Impact of Ind AS on results of individual sectors



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The chart above showcases the impact of Ind AS on the results of the companies belonging to individual sectors.

As was expected and is known, the nature and quantum of the impacts vary not only based on the sectors to which companies belong but also on the choices made by the companies while transitioning to Ind AS. Accordingly, while companies in the metals and energy sectors have reported significant improvement in their results, this is primarily on account of their choice to fair value property, plant and equipment on the date of transition resulting in reversal of depreciation and other similar expenditure recognised in the financial results prepared as per AS.


Further, company specific adjustments have also contributed to how Ind AS has impacted the results of various sectors. For example, while the companies in the metals' sectors (in addition to the adjustments in relation to property, plant and equipment) have recognised a significant adjustment due to fair valuation loss on financial instruments, companies in the automobiles and ancillaries' sector have recognised a significant

reduction in profit on account of foreign exchange in relation to property, plant and equipment. Similarly, while some sectors like energy may have seen a low impact on results as per Ind AS, voluntary disclosures made by some entities seem to suggest that certain adjustments on the date of transition were significant but had an offsetting effect in terms of the overall adjustment to the profits. Accordingly, when similar disclosures are made by the remaining companies as part of the annual financial statements that may also bring out the true impact on the financial statements and reveal how some of the choices made by companies on the transition date have affected subsequent profits.


Standard-wise impact on results of each sector

Subsequent charts highlight the impact of key standards on the results of individual sectors. The percentages referred to in the charts in this publication represent the relative impact with reference to the overall impact of Ind AS on the results of the particular

sector. Accordingly, it may not be appropriate to presume that similar percentages reflected for two different sectors is indicative of similar absolute values.



Automotive and ancillaries' sector




Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Results of the companies belonging to the automobile and ancillaries, sector continue to be significantly affected by the foreign exchange fluctuation standard. The current quarter reflects a relatively significant adjustment for foreign exchange fluctuation in relation to property, plant and equipment which appears to be on account of one of the related choices on

first-time adoption exercised by one company to discontinue the policy followed under AS. This could be based on an intention to align with IFRS requirements. Some of the impacts reported by a significant proportion of companies in the automobile and ancillaries, sector include adjustments for revenue recognition and fair valuation of financial instruments.

Cement and cement products




Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The key differences between AS and Ind AS reporting include adjustments in relation to fair valuation of derivative instruments and certain adjustments reported for depreciation. However, given that a significant proportion of

companies in this sector are yet to publish Ind AS results (as those companies have financial year end other than 31 March), the impacts due to Ind AS reported may not yet be represent the overall sector impact.

Energy




Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The impact on the energy sector could be broadly split into, the impact on the exploration sector and other sub-sectors. Companies in the exploration sector have reported adjustments to the oil and gas assets on account of changes in policies of measuring such assets and in certain cases, recognition of asset retirement obligations on a discounted basis. Other significant adjustments which have

been reported by companies in the energy sector include impact of fair value deemed cost exemption elected for property, plant and equipment, fair valuation adjustments (for derivatives and other financial instruments), adjustments to depreciation for items such as stores and spares, enabling assets, etc. Certain companies have reported adjustments for revenue recognition as well.

Infrastructure



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Financial instruments is again a common impact area for almost all companies within the infrastructure space with significant impact resulting from not only the fair valuation measurement requirements for certain instruments, but also the amortised cost measurement requirement. Adjustments to revenue recognition have also been

reported by companies in the real estate sector space. Similarly, adjustments for property, plant and equipment do not represent any particular trend and substantial portion of such adjustments have been reported by one company. Similar to other sectors, adjustments for employee benefits reflect in the profit reconciliations of almost

all companies. However, in addition to the adjustments relating to actuarial gains/losses and related items, recognition of additional liability on account of constructive obligations is also appearing as a one of reconciliation item for one covered company in this sector.

IT consulting and software



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Key differences reported by companies in this sector are with reference to certain financial instruments, employee benefits, business combinations, etc. Revenue recognition under AS appears to have been aligned with the requirements of IFRS

and hence, no adjustments were reported by any of the companies in this sector. Similarly, while these companies have a significant exposure to financial instruments such as derivatives, etc., the impact on transition to Ind AS has not

been significant, given that the policies under AS were on a large extent already based on the requirements of IFRS. The companies in this sector have reported a relatively significant impact on account of business combinations.

Metals



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Certain companies in this sector have more exposure to financial instruments which have resulted in adjustments relating to such instruments having a greater weightage. Certain companies have made a choice of measuring property,

plant and equipment at their fair value on the date of transition – this has been reflected in the profit reconciliation as large adjustments in the current quarter. Additionally, other areas of impact are recognition of remeasurements of defined

benefit plans through other comprehensive income, restatement of past business combinations, and deferred taxes adjustments including impact on undistributed earnings of joint ventures.

Other manufacturing




Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Significant proportion of companies included in this sector have disclosed adjustments in relation to fair value measurement of derivatives and other financial

instruments, and adjustments on account of share based payments, etc. Two companies in this sector have reflected adjustments in relation to business combinations.

Packaged foods



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Fair value measurement requirement in relation to financial instruments has had a significant impact on companies in this sector.

Further, financial instruments and share-based payments are key contributors to the adjustments to profit; significant proportion of

companies in this sector are yet to declare Ind AS results as their financial year end is other than 31 March.

Personal products



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

In addition to the impacts reflected in the chart above, there are significant profit neutral adjustments to revenue which have been

reported voluntarily by certain companies in this sector. These are related to discounts, incentives, etc. provided to customers which under Ind AS

are specifically required to be adjusted in revenue.

Pharmaceuticals



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.


Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The results of the pharmaceutical sector are mainly affected by deferred taxes computation. This may be primarily on account of consolidation related adjustments e.g. elimination of unrealised profit on inventory

transferred within the group. While companies in this sector typically have a significant exposure to derivatives, the impact of transitioning to Ind AS has not been significant from a financial instruments perspective as certain

companies were already applying principles of IFRS for reporting under AS.

Telecom and telecom services




Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

In addition to deferred tax impact of Ind AS adjustments, certain companies in this sector have recognised deferred tax liabilities on undistributed profits of subsidiaries, associates or joint ventures. Similarly, a large impact has

been reported on account of business combinations (discontinuance of amortisation of goodwill) and foreign currency translation difference by one of the companies (included in the others category).

Others



Note: Figures in brackets represent percentages of the YTD financial results till 30 September 2015.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

In general, the contribution of various standards to profit reconciliations reported by companies in this group is consistent with the overall trend. However, one company

has reported a very large adjustment in relation to reversal of profit on disposal of an investment measured at fair value under Ind AS. Significant adjustments reported by these

companies include fair value measurement of derivatives. Further, one company in this group has also chosen to fair value preference shares on each reporting date.



Analysis of impact of key standards

The following sections of the publication highlight some of the key differences between AS and Ind AS for


the respective standards, reported as part of the profit reconciliations for the quarter ended 30 September 2015.





Revenue recognition

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to revenue recognition



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Some of the key differences between AS and Ind AS in terms of revenue recognition areas are as following:

- Reallocation of revenue in case of multiple deliverable arrangements and linked arrangements.
- Recognition of revenue at fair value with adjustments for discounts, rebates, incentives, etc.
- Revenue is measured at fair value of consideration received, taking into account any trade discounts and volume rebates. If a transaction includes a financing element, the revenue is measured by discounting all future cash receipts at an imputed rate of interest.
- Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised when the entity has transferred significant risks and rewards of ownership to the buyer and it no longer retains control or has managerial involvement in the goods. The AS guidance on revenue recognition states that revenue would be recognised on transfer of risks and rewards, and also permits revenue recognition when the seller has transferred to the buyer the property in the goods.
- Construction contracts are accounted for under the percentage-of-completion method. The completed contract method is not permitted under Ind AS.
- Revenue from service contracts is recognised in the period during which the services are rendered, generally under the percentage-of-completion method. Under AS, revenue from rendering of services is recognised using percentage-of-completion method or completed contract method. The selection of revenue recognition method is based on the nature of services rather than on the degree of reliability of outcome.

While there are significant differences between AS and Ind AS, the number of differences impacting the results of the respective companies and the quantum thereof reported by the covered companies do not appear to be very significant. Further, in the absence of detailed explanations/notes to the reconciliations, the exact nature of difference is not clearly identifiable. Some key differences reported by the covered companies for revenue recognition related matters include:


- Deferment of revenue reported by certain companies in the energy sector

- Net adjustment in development income and leasing income reported by a company in the infrastructure sector
- Adjustment to revenue recognition on account of linked arrangements, measurement of revenue, etc. reported by certain companies in the pharmaceutical sector.

In addition to the differences reported by the companies as part of profit reconciliations, companies in the personal products sector have reported certain reclassification adjustments to revenue which do not affect the results of the respective companies. These

reclassifications primarily pertain to presentation of certain expenses which are presented as part of selling and promotion expense under AS. Under Ind AS, these expenses are adjusted in revenue. Disclosures made by certain companies in the personal products sector indicate that the impact of such reclassification ranges within 3-5 per cent of revenue reported under AS.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of revenue recognition – determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Property, plant and equipment

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to property, plant and equipment



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

The guidance in Ind AS 16, *Property, Plant and Equipment*, and Ind AS 38, *Intangible Assets* are largely similar to the present requirements of AS. However, there are differences, including on determination of what elements of costs are eligible or required to be capitalised under Ind AS.

Following are some of the key differences between AS and Ind AS:

- Eligible borrowing costs (debt vs equity, stand-alone vs consolidated)
- Accounting for stores and spares
- Asset retirement obligation (to consider time value of money)
- Deferred credit arrangements for purchase of property, plant and equipment
- Indefinite useful lives for certain intangibles
- Restriction on revenue based amortisation.

Also, while the current requirements of AS are

similar to those of Ind AS, the treatment applied in past periods had significant differences from the requirements of Ind AS. For example, application of minimum rates prescribed for depreciation, no specific requirement for componentisation, accounting for preoperative expenditure, etc.

These assume significance in light of the following choices

available under Ind AS 101, *First-time Adoption of Indian Accounting Standards* (Ind AS 101):

- Previous GAAP carrying value as of date of transition to be presumed to be deemed cost
- Retrospective recomputation of the carrying value of property, plant and equipment based on the requirements of Ind AS, or
- Fair valuation of all or some of the property, plant and equipment as of date of transition with retrospective recomputation of the carrying value for assets which are not fair valued.

While companies have not specifically disclosed the choices made on the date of transition, in some cases such

choices have a material impact on profit for the quarter ended 30 September 2015, these have been highlighted as part of profit reconciliation.

Further, for the purposes of this analysis, adjustments to oil and gas assets have also been covered as part of property, plant and equipment.


Some of the key differences reported by the covered companies in relation to property, plant and equipment are as follows:

- Impact of choice made on first-time adoption – e.g. incremental depreciation on account of fair valuation of property, plant and equipment as of the date of transition
- Accounting for stores and spares

- Impact of choice of policy of foreign currency fluctuation on depreciation/amortisation
- Indefinite life permitted to be attributed to intangible assets under Ind AS
- Depletion of oil and gas assets
- In addition to the above, certain companies have specified change in depreciation/amortisation as part of the reconciliation, without any further clarification as to the cause of the impact.

In relation to oil and gas assets, certain companies have reported a change in policy for oil and gas assets and have reported a consequential impact on profit for the quarter ended 30 September 2015.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of property, plant and equipment – determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Financial instruments

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to financial instruments



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

While AS 13, *Accounting for Investments* is the only authoritative guidance on financial instruments, Ind AS 109, *Financial Instruments* provides a much more broad, detailed and in certain cases complex guidance for accounting for financial instruments.

Some of the key areas of differences between AS and Ind AS are as follows:

- Classification and measurement of financial assets (including fair value measurement of equity shares, mutual funds, etc.)
- Measurement of derivatives at their respective fair values

instead of providing only for losses based on principles of prudence


- Measurement of borrowings at amortised cost with reference to the effective rate of interest
- Classification of redeemable preference shares as debt and specific guidelines for classification in case of convertibles instruments issued by the reporting entity
- Impairment of financial assets including trade receivables, etc. based on the expected loss model
- Recognition of financial instruments at fair value

e.g. interest free loans to subsidiaries, interest free security deposits.

In case of the financial instruments standard, almost all of the above differences which are normally identified between AS and Ind AS have been reflected in the reconciliations of the covered companies. While the impact of the financial instruments standard by and large depends on the instruments which the respective company has on its balance sheet, the requirements of this standard appear to have affected the financial results of almost all the sectors (as reflected in the table above).

While measurement of instruments at fair value or amortised cost (amortised cost includes impact of fair value measurement on initial recognition) have been reflected in the profit reconciliations of a large proportion of covered companies, application of expected credit loss model has had limited impact on the profits of these companies.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of financial instruments – determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2016 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 September 2016



Foreign exchange fluctuation

Table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to foreign exchange fluctuation



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Some of the key differences between AS and Ind AS in relation to foreign exchange fluctuation are as follows:

- No separate concept of functional currency and reporting currency under AS. Accordingly, under Ind AS, an assessment of functional currency based on the indicators specified in the standard is required.
- Under AS, companies had an option to capitalise foreign exchange

differences on long-term monetary items, or to accumulate them in reserves and subsequently amortise them into the statement of profit and loss over the remaining tenure of the related monetary item. All other foreign exchange differences were required to be recognised in the statement of profit and loss.

Under Ind AS, on transition, companies could elect to

continue their chosen AS policy of capitalising exchange fluctuation to fixed assets (or recognising the exchange fluctuation in reserves with subsequent amortisation) on long-term monetary items existing as of 31 March 2016. However, for all new transactions post 31 March 2016, companies are required to recognise exchange differences in the statement of profit and loss.

Based on the results declared by the covered companies, key observations in relation to treatment of foreign exchange fluctuation are as follows:


- Reconciliation of profits for the quarter ended 30 September 2015 reported by the covered companies do not appear to reflect any impact in relation to change in functional currency. While there may be specific disclosures in the annual

financial statements in relation to functional currency, it appears that this requirement of Ind AS has not had any significant impact on the covered companies.

- Of the covered companies, only certain companies have reflected an impact on the profits for the quarter ended 30 September 2015, primarily on account of a change in policy with

respect to exchange fluctuation on existing long-term monetary items. However, given that exchange fluctuation on new long-term monetary items entered into post 31 March 2016 is to be recognised in the income statement, this may potentially have a significant impact on the results of the covered companies for future periods.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of foreign exchange fluctuation – determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Employee benefits

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to employee benefits



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

For the purposes of this analysis, share-based payments have also been covered as part of employee benefits. Key differences between AS and Ind AS in relation to employee benefits and share-based payments:

- Remeasurements (including actuarial gains/losses) to be recognised in Other Comprehensive Income (OCI) under Ind AS compared to the requirements of AS where

the entire impact of the actuarial valuation was to be recognised in the income statement of profit and loss.

- Share-based payments are required to be measured with reference to their fair value unlike in AS where companies had an accounting policy choice to measure the same at either intrinsic value or fair value.


In cases where the options have a graded vesting feature, each tranche is required to be

treated as a separate grant and amortised over the respective period.

Majority of companies have reported adjustment on account of remeasurement of long-term employee benefits (including actuarial gains/losses) as part of OCI. However, only couple of sectors (as highlighted in chart on the next page) have contributed to the change in values of amounts from AS to Ind AS.

Further, of the covered companies, select companies have reflected an adjustment on account of employee share-based payments separately in the profit reconciliation for the quarter ended 30 September 2015. The impact of the measurement based on fair value and impact on account of the graded vesting feature, however, is not disclosed separately.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of employee benefits—determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Business combinations

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to business combinations



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

While under AS there is no comprehensive guidance for accounting for business combinations e.g. AS 21, *Consolidated Financial Statements* for acquisition of subsidiaries, AS 14, *Accounting for Amalgamations* for amalgamations, etc. all business combinations under Ind AS are required to be accounted for as per the requirements of Ind AS 103, *Business Combinations*. Some of the key Ind AS requirements include:

- Acquisition date when control is transferred – not just a date mandated by court or an agreement
- Mandatory use of purchase method of accounting – fair valuation of net assets

(including previously unrecognised intangible assets) and subsequent fair value based amortisation

- Fair value of consideration transferred (earn-out arrangement, deferred and contingent consideration accounting on acquisition date)
- Transaction costs charged to the statement of profit and loss
- Goodwill amortisation not permitted
- Demerger at fair value, in certain instances
- Participative rights held by non-controlling interests could result in classification of investee as joint venture even in cases where the

reporting entity has majority voting rights

- Recognition of liability for put options written in favour of non-controlling interests
- Equity method of accounting for joint ventures.

It is pertinent to note that the accounting requirements of business combinations tend to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements and in that context companies that are yet to publish their consolidated financial results could yet report a significant impact in relation to the consolidated financial results.

Similar to property, plant and equipment, choices made by companies on first time adoption in relation to past business combinations may be a very important factor in context of the impact on the results for the quarter ended 30 June 2015 and 30 September 2015. For example, if a company chooses not to restate past business combinations and it has not made any acquisition in the quarter ended 30 September 2015, it potentially may not report any impact on account of business combination.

Some of the key adjustments reported by the covered companies include:

- Reversal of amortisation of goodwill recognised under AS


- Recognition of finance cost in relation to put options written in favour of non-controlling interests
- Impact of remeasurements of deferred consideration/contingent consideration for past business combinations
- Increase in amortisation/depreciation expense in relation to past business combinations/acquisitions.

Given that a majority of the covered companies have presented their profit reconciliations in a vertical format and have covered only items which affect profit, the impact of application of equity method of joint venture is specifically not identified in the financial statements. While in cases of certain companies

there has been a reduction in each line item appearing in the consolidated results, it may not be possible to attribute the movement in each line item to a specific Ind AS adjustment.

Similarly, cases where entities were earlier classified as subsidiaries under AS and are now identified as jointly controlled entities would not necessarily be apparent except if such reclassification has a significant impact on profits (e.g. in cases where the entity was loss making and non-controlling interest's share of losses was borne by the reporting entity under AS).

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of business combinations – determined with reference to value of adjustments




Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Income taxes

The table below summarises the number of companies to have reported an adjustment in relation to income taxes



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016


Under Ind AS, determination of deferred taxes is tabulated with reference to a balance sheet approach compared to the income statement approach as required under AS.

This has significant impact on the consolidated financial statements of companies, especially in cases where the consolidation adjustments (such as elimination of unrealised profit, etc.) are significant. Such adjustments to deferred tax in consolidated financial statements is specifically prohibited under AS. Ind AS also specifically requires recognition of deferred tax liabilities on undistributed earnings of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures, subject to certain conditions.

Key differences reported by covered companies as part of the profit reconciliation for the quarter ended 30 September 2015:

- Significant component of the adjustments identified in relation to tax represent the tax impact of Ind AS adjustments considered as part of the requirements of other standards. However, differential tax rates especially in cases of certain financial instruments including certain tax free instruments could potentially be one of the reasons for the tax impact not being a consistent percentage of the Ind AS adjustments.
- Covered companies who have also reported consolidated financial results have recognised deferred tax adjustments on consolidation adjustments, unrealised profits, etc. The impact of such adjustments may be more pronounced in sectors where the business models involve selling of products in overseas markets through distribution subsidiaries e.g. pharmaceuticals. However, very few of the covered companies have distinguished the Ind AS adjustment related deferred tax impact from the other deferred tax impacts attributed to the difference in approach to computation.

The table below highlights sector-wise impact of income taxes – determined with reference to value of adjustments



Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016



Segment reporting

Ind AS requires segment disclosure based on the components of the company that the management monitors while making decisions about operating matters (the management approach). Such components (operating segments) are identified on the basis of internal reports that the company's Chief Operating Decision Maker (CODM) reviews regularly when allocating resources to segments and assessing their performance. This

treatment is different from the AS requirement of disclosure of business and geographical segments and while the aggregating criteria specified in Ind AS is similar to the definition of business segments per AS 17, one would have expected segment reporting undergoing significant changes for a number of companies.

In the first quarter of 2016, only 12 companies had reflected a change from the segments

identified under AS. Fifty-nine of the covered companies had identified no changes in their segment. This may indicate that the segments identified under AS were already based on the internal reports submitted to the CODM and also consistent with external reports published for investors, analysts, etc. Another important point that we noted was that out of these companies, 25 consider that they have only one reportable segment.

In the second quarter of 2016, except for one company (in telecom and telecom services sector), all other companies in the covered group have continued with the same segment classification as disclosed in the first quarter.

An analysis of the companies in relation to their segment reporting is as summarised in the table below.

The table summarises segment reporting information

Sectors	Segment classification		No. of segments	
	Changed as compared to AS classification	Same as previously reported AS classification	One reportable segment	More than one reportable segment
Automotive and ancillaries	-	10	6	4
Cement and cement products		1	1	
Energy	1	10	4	7
Infrastructure	2	5	3	4
IT consulting and software	1	3		5
Metals	2	5		7
Other manufacturing	-	7	1	6
Packaged foods	-	1	1	
Personal products	3	3	2	4
Pharmaceuticals	1	7	4	4
Telecom and telecom services	3*	1*	-	4
Others	-	5	3	2
Totals	13	59	25	47

* One company from telecom and telecom services sector has changed segment classification as compared to first quarter.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies up to 14 December 2016

Additional disclosures for segment reporting (including for those companies who have only a single segment) also shall apply in context of the annual financial statements. Further, while

44 of the 72 companies have presented consolidated financial statements, segment reporting for the remaining 28 could potentially undergo a change when the consolidated financial results/statements are

presented for the year ending 31 March 2017 (depending on the choice elected by these companies).



SEBI's reporting requirements on transition to Ind AS

SEBI relaxations for quarterly reporting

The SEBI issued a circular (reference No. CIR/CFD/FAC/62/2016) on 5 July 2016 (SEBI circular), providing certain relaxations in reporting of Ind AS financial results for the first three quarters.

The relevant relaxations in terms of reporting timelines, comparative information and the requirement of audit/limited review of comparative information have been outlined as follows:

Format up to 31 December 2016

Listed entities are required to comply with the existing formats prescribed under the SEBI circular date, 30 November 2015 till the period ending 31 December 2016.

Relaxation in format for 30 September 2016

The table below highlights quarterly reporting requirement

Reporting requirements	30 September 2016
3 months ended	✓
Preceding 3 months ended	✓
Corresponding 3 months ended in the PY*	✓
YTD figures for current period ended	✓
YTD figures for the PY ended	✓
PY ended 31 March 2016	× (Note a)
Audit/review of PY comparative period	× (Note b)
Audit or review of period ended 31 March 2016	× (Note a)
Disclosure of reserves (excluding revaluation reserves)	Optional

Source: KPMG in India's analysis, 2017 based on SEBI circular dated 5 July 2016

*PY – Previous Year

Notes:

- a. Companies may voluntarily provide Ind AS comparatives for the year ended 31 March 2016. However, these are not required to be audited or reviewed. Companies should disclose the fact that the financial results have not been audited/reviewed.
- b. Ind AS quarterly financial results for the comparative period are not required to be audited or reviewed. Companies should disclose the fact that the financial results have not been audited/reviewed.

Based on the table above, a listed company preparing its first financial results as per Ind AS for the quarter ended 30 September 2016 at minimum was required to present the financial results for the quarter ended 30 September 2016 (after a limited review by auditors) and the financial results for the quarter ended 30 September 2015 (management results without limited review by auditors).

Timelines for submitting quarterly financial results

For the quarter ending 30 September 2016, the revised timelines for submitting quarterly financial results is 14 December 2016 (earlier 14 November 2016).

Consolidated financial results

The SEBI circular provided that a listed company could opt to present quarterly/year to date consolidated financial results in the second quarter instead of the first quarter of the financial year and this option shall not change during the remaining part of the financial year.

Reconciliations to be presented


The SEBI circular states that following are the reconciliation requirements:

- Reconciliation of its equity for the previous year ended 31 March 2016 to be provided while submitting the audited yearly balance sheet for the period ended 31 March 2017
- Reconciliation of its equity for the previous year ended 31 March 2016 to be provided in case the listed entity intends to provide the same while submitting the unaudited/audited Ind AS compliant half-yearly balance sheet for the period ended 30 September 2016.
- Reconciliation of its net profit/loss as mentioned in the unaudited/audited quarterly financial results to be provided only for the corresponding quarter of the previous year.

Key trends for covered companies: Relaxations availed for submitting quarterly financial results for the period ending 30 September 2016

The chart below summarises the number of covered companies who availed the relaxations provided by SEBI.

Trend in timing and presentation of results



Under Ind AS, a parent prepares consolidated financial statements using uniform accounting policies for like transactions and other events in similar circumstances. Separate financial statements may be prepared in addition to the consolidated financial statements. As mentioned earlier, of the covered companies, 28 have provided stand-alone financial results for the quarter ending 30 September 2015 while 44 have provided consolidated financial results for the quarter ending 30 September 2015. This is due the fact that SEBI permits companies to prepare stand-alone financial results as a relaxation for the first year of Ind AS implementation.

Source: KPMG in India's analysis 2016 based on the primary data gathered from BSE 100 companies upto 14 September 2016

The relaxations in terms of reporting timelines have been availed by a smaller proportion of covered companies as compared to the relaxations for comparative period results, the requirement of limited review/audit of the comparative period results and the presentation of reconciliations.

While only 17 per cent of the covered companies have availed the relaxations for timelines. This is probably attributed to the fact that these companies feature in the top 100 listed companies in the country and are expected to have processes in place to meet the timelines for submission of financial results.

The proportion of companies availing the relaxation regarding submission of financial results provided by SEBI could be higher if all listed companies covered in phase I are to be considered.



Looking ahead



India's journey of convergence with IFRS was initiated in 2007 when the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) released a concept paper on the process of convergence with IFRS. The efforts put in by various stakeholders since then have culminated into the first two quarters of the results being published by the top listed companies in India in compliance with Ind AS. It is therefore appropriate that all stakeholders concerned should reflect on this journey and congratulate themselves on their contribution in bringing about one of the most significant changes in financial reporting in India.

Publishing the first two sets of quarterly results marks only the first step in this process of convergence. The companies covered in phase I would now need to gear up for the year end where they would be required to publish the consolidated financial statements (for majority of the covered companies) and also comply with the disclosure requirements of Ind AS.

Further, companies that have chosen to present comparatives without any limited review or audit by statutory auditors would also need to complete the process by the third quarter of this financial year.

While we have presented some of the emerging trends and perspectives on the Ind AS results published so far, it may be important to consider what we could look forward to in the next few months until the annual financial statements are published.

Detailed explanations to the reconciliations presented

As discussed above, Ind AS 101 requires detailed explanations to be provided for in relation to the reconciliations required to be presented. Accordingly, one could expect such explanations to be presented along with the annual financial statements.

These could help various stakeholders to obtain a more detailed understanding of the adjustments being considered by companies and may also enable benchmarking amongst peers.

Disclosures for exemptions and exceptions chosen

Ind AS 101 also requires companies to mandatorily present the net worth reconciliation as of 1 April 2015 and 31 March 2016 (in relation to financial statements for the year ending 31 March 2017).

These reconciliations along with the disclosures for the exemptions and exceptions chosen by companies can provide insights into the choices made by these companies on first-time adoption and the impact of such choices on key metrics such as net worth, key ratios involving return on capital employed, debt equity ratio, etc.

Impact on consolidated financial statements

There are still a significant number of companies who are yet to publish consolidated financial results and are likely to be presenting the same for the first time as part of their annual financial statements. There are significant differences between AS and Ind AS which affect only the consolidated financial statements and accordingly, this may be an important area to watch out for.

Position for Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT)

This is an area where companies themselves are awaiting clarity. The requirements for adjustments made on the transition date have been included in the Finance Bill, 2017 recently, companies have already made their choices for the transition date and therefore would be eager to understand the implications under MAT.

Evolving accounting positions

As companies in the first phase of the Ind AS road map progress in presenting financial results under Ind AS during the year, the positions taken for various matters may evolve or undergo a change, especially in cases where there are clarifications issued by Ind AS Implementation Task Force Group (ITFG), Expert Advisory Committee (EAC), etc. Appropriate messaging/disclosures will be critical as and when companies consider changes to the positions taken under Ind AS.

Standard setting process

Now that companies have commenced preparing financial results/statements as per Ind AS, it will be important for them to understand the process of incorporating changes in alignment with Ind AS. Internationally, under IFRS 15 *Revenue from Contracts with Customers* and IFRS 16, *Leases* two major revisions are expected to be introduced/implemented in the near future. Accordingly, it will be essential that the regulators lay down the process and timelines for incorporating future changes as per IFRS revisions so that all relevant companies are able to plan and prepare appropriately.

Disclaimer:

The information contained in this report is of a general nature and is not intended to address the circumstances of any particular individual or entity. No one should act on such information without appropriate professional advice after a thorough examination of the particular situation.

The publication contains information obtained from the public domain or external sources which have not been verified for authenticity, accuracy or completeness.

Our publication may make reference to 'KPMG Analysis'; this merely indicates that we have (where specified) undertaken certain analytical activities on the underlying data to arrive at the information presented; we do not accept responsibility for the veracity of the underlying data.

By reading the report the reader shall be deemed to have accepted the terms mentioned above.

KPMG in India contacts:

Sai Venkateshwaran

Partner and Head

Accounting Advisory Services

T: +91 22 3090 2020

E: saiv@kpmg.com

Ruchi Rastogi

Executive Director

Assurance

T: +91 124 334 5205

E: ruchirastogi@kpmg.com

[KPMG.com/in](https://www.kpmg.com/in)

Follow us on:

[kpmg.com/in/socialmedia](https://www.kpmg.com/in/socialmedia)



© 2017 KPMG, an Indian Registered Partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name and logo are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.

This document is meant for e-communications only. (048_NEW1216)